

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/763,762	WINNER ET AL	
	Examiner Ha D. Ho	Art Unit 3681	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ha D. Ho. (3) ____.
 (2) Mr. Ottesen. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 November 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 13, 14, 19 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bota'531 and Butsuen et al'792.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreed that the proposed claims overcome the combination of Bota'531 and Butsuen et al'792. However, the proposed new limitations added to the claims would require further consideration and/or search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Ha Ho

HAHO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 3681 11/12/04

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/763,762	WINNER ET AL.	
	Examiner Ha D. Ho	Art Unit 3681	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ha D. Ho. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Ottesen. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 November 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 13, 14, 19 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bota'531 and Butsuen et al'792.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreed that the proposed claims overcome the combination of Bota'531 and Butsuen et al'792. However, the proposed new limitations added to the claims would require further consideration and/or search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

HAHO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 3681 11/12/04

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required